

Hearing Date and Time: June 18, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)
Objection Date and Time: June 5, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Garrett A. Fail

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	08-13555 (SCC)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----	X	

**NOTICE OF MOTION OF LEHMAN BROTHERS
HOLDINGS INC. FOR EXTENSION OF THE PERIOD TO
FILE OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUESTS TO ESTIMATE CLAIMS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing on the annexed motion (the “Motion”) of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”), as Plan Administrator under the *Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors*, for an extension of the period to file objections to and requests to estimate claims, all as more fully described in the Motion, will be held before the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Customs House, Courtroom 623, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 (the “Bankruptcy Court”), on **June 18, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Hearing”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Objection shall be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance

with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a CD-ROM or 3.5 inch disk, in text-searchable portable document format (PDF) (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with the customary practices of the Bankruptcy Court and General Order M-399, to the extent applicable, and shall be served in accordance with General Order M-399 upon: (i) the chambers of the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 621; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153, Attn: Garrett A. Fail, Esq. and Alexander Woolverton, Esq.; and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, New York 10014, Attn: William K. Harrington, Esq., Susan Golden, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq., so as to be so filed and received by no later than **June 5, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)** (the "Objection Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if an objection to the Motion is not received by the Objection Deadline, the relief requested shall be deemed unopposed, and the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order granting the relief sought without a hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objecting parties are required to attend the Hearing, and failure to appear may result in relief being granted or denied upon default.

Dated: May 22, 2015
New York, New York

/s/ Garrett A. Fail

Garrett A. Fail

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

Hearing Date and Time: June 18, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)
Objection Date and Time: June 5, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Garrett A. Fail

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	08-13555 (SCC)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----	X	

**MOTION OF LEHMAN BROTHERS
HOLDINGS INC. FOR EXTENSION OF THE PERIOD
TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUESTS TO ESTIMATE CLAIMS**

TO THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI" or the "Plan Administrator"), as Plan Administrator under the *Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors*, dated December 5, 2011 (ECF No. 22737) (the "Plan"), submits this motion for an order extending the period to file objections to and requests to estimate claims, and respectfully represents:

Preliminary Statement

1. Over the course of these chapter 11 cases, creditors filed approximately 69,000 claims asserting approximately \$1.3 trillion in liabilities against the Debtors.¹ By the

¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given to them in the Plan.

time the Plan was confirmed, the Debtors had resolved—by way of allowance, withdrawal, reduction, reclassification, disallowance or expungement—approximately 48,000 claims totaling approximately \$765 billion. The Plan Administrator had until two years after the Effective Date, or “such later date as may be fixed by the [Court] for cause shown,” to resolve the approximately 21,000 remaining claims. Less than one year later, by September 30, 2013, the Plan Administrator had resolved approximately 16,500 of these claims totaling approximately \$435 billion, and on November 25, 2013, the Court entered an order granting the Plan Administrator’s request to extend the deadline to file objections to and requests for estimation of the remaining approximately 4,500 claims (the “Objection Deadline”) to September 6, 2015. In the past eighteen months, the Plan Administrator continued its progress and fully resolved approximately 2,000 of these claims asserting over \$73.5 billion in liabilities. Further, the Plan Administrator obtained orders reclassifying as Equity Interests in LBHI approximately 110 additional claims that remain subject to a pending appeal.

2. Due in significant part to its efforts and successes in reducing Disputed Claims, in the three Plan Distributions since its last request to extend the Objection Deadline, the Plan Administrator liquidated and distributed approximately \$36.4 billion to holders of Allowed Claims. Cumulatively, through the seventh Distribution, the Plan Administrator distributed approximately \$99.6 billion to allowed unsecured creditors, including payments on account of third-party claims, which includes non-controlled affiliate claims, and payments among the Chapter 11 Estates and their controlled affiliates.

3. More than 25% of the approximately 2,500 remaining Disputed Claims outstanding as of May 15, 2015 are already subject to a pending objection, but significant work remains to be done. The Plan Administrator intends to continue to pursue efficient means by

which to resolve the remaining claims. But the Plan Administrator anticipates that resolution of certain of the remaining Disputed Claims may require more individualized, time- and resource-consuming processes. In order to ensure the claims reconciliation process can continue on its successful trajectory, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court further extend the Objection Deadline through March 6, 2017.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider and determine this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, the Debtors commenced with this Court voluntary cases under the Bankruptcy Code. The chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Bankruptcy Rules.

6. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan (ECF No. 23023). The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012 (the “Effective Date”).

7. The Plan Administrator has the responsibility to “control and effectuate the Claims reconciliation process.” Plan § 6.1(b)(i). *See also id.* § 9.4 (authorizing the Plan Administrator to “to compromise, settle or otherwise resolve or withdraw any objections to Claims and to compromise, settle or otherwise resolve any Disputed Claims”); *Order Modifying Certain Existing Claims Orders* (ECF No. 29505).

8. Additionally, the Plan Requires that “[o]bjections to and requests for estimation of Claims shall be filed with the Court and served on the claimant on or before the

later of (a) the date that is 2 years after the Effective Date and (b) such later date as may be fixed by the Bankruptcy Court for cause shown.” Plan § 9.1.

9. On November 25, 2013, the Court entered an order extending the Objection Deadline to September 6, 2015. (*See* ECF No. 41286.)

Relief Requested

10. The Plan Administrator requests that the Court extend the Objection Deadline by eighteen (18) months to March 6, 2017, without prejudice to the ability of the Plan Administrator to request further extensions.

Cause Exists to Extend the Objection Deadline

11. The Plan, the Bankruptcy Code and Rules, and case law provide ample authority on which the Court may grant the relief requested. Additionally, the facts of these chapter 11 cases plainly demonstrate that cause exists to extend the Objection Deadline.

12. The Court has the express authority to extend the Objection Deadline “for cause shown.” Plan § 9.1. Further, Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b) provides that the Court may “*for cause shown at any time in its discretion*” extend a deadline “if the request therefore is made before the expiration” of such deadline.” FED. R. BANKR. P. 9006(b)(1) (emphasis added). And notwithstanding, the Court has the inherent power ““to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.”” *Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. LY USA, Inc.*, 676 F.3d 83, 96–97 (2d Cir. 2012) (quoting *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936)).

13. The authority to extend the Objection Deadline is also consistent with the Court’s broad authority under sections 1142(b) and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to issue orders necessary for the consummation of the Plan; or to carry out the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc. v. Abnos*, 482 F.3d 602, 609 (2d Cir. 2007)

(“Section 105(a) grants broad equitable power to the bankruptcy courts to carry out the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code so long as that power is exercised within the confines of the Bankruptcy Code.”); *In re Oversight & Control Comm’n of Avanzit, S.A.*, 385 B.R. 525, 535 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2008) (“The bankruptcy court retains jurisdiction under 11 U.S.C. §1142(b) . . . and it has ‘continuing responsibilities to satisfy itself that the [p]lan is being properly implemented.’”) (internal citations omitted).

14. This Court previously granted an extension of the Objection Deadline, and extensions of the time to object to claims are routinely granted in other chapter 11 cases in this district. *See, e.g., In re MF Global Holdings Ltd.*, No. 11-15059 (MG), ECF Nos. 1869, 2028, 2102 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (extending deadline three times, to date); *In re Motors Liquidation Corp.*, No. 09-50026 (REG), ECF Nos. 10938, 11546, 12092, 12364, 12499, 12610 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (extending deadline six times); *In re Refco Inc.*, No. 05-60006 (RDD), ECF Nos. 4936, 5498, 5882, 6260, 6384, 6614, 6729, 6801, 6865, 6929, 7021 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (extending deadline eleven times).

There is Good Cause to Extend the Objection Deadline

15. To date, the Debtors and their professionals have successfully resolved more than 66,000 claims asserting more than \$1.28 trillion in liabilities. This is more than 96% of the claims asserted in these chapter 11 cases. The claims reconciliation process has been significantly aided by the Plan Administrator’s exclusive control over the order and methods of reconciliation, and by the Court’s entry of orders “designed to maximize the efficiency” of the process and “promote the fair resolution of the tens of thousands of filed claims,” oftentimes without Court intervention. *In re Lehman Bros. Holdings Inc.*, 433 B.R. 113, 120–21 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010), *aff’d*, 445 B.R. 137 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).

16. Certain of the remaining Disputed Claims involve unique facts and legal

issues, requiring individual attention. Resolution of certain other large groups of claims may be addressed in an omnibus or summary fashion; however, even in such cases, reconciliation prior to an objection of such claims may be a time-consuming process. *See, e.g., Order Establishing a Protocol to Resolve Claims Filed by Trustees on Behalf of Certain Issuers of Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities* (ECF No. 47569) (providing for the submission of information with respect to over 300 residential mortgage-backed security claims through March 2016).

17. Extending the Objection Deadline will inure the benefit of all parties in interest. It will give the Plan Administrator the necessary time to discharge its duties to all holders of Allowed Claims against the Debtors, and to make the appropriate determination how to proceed with respect to the remaining Disputed Claims. It will also allow the Plan Administrator to continue to engage in negotiations and alternative dispute resolution proceedings to consensually resolve claims without judicial intervention. Further, granting the relief requested will not prejudice any creditor because the Plan Administrator maintains reserves for liquidated Disputed Claims pending Distribution.² *See* Plan § 8.4.

18. Absent the relief requested, significant prejudice and inconvenience will befall all parties in interest and the Court. The Plan Administrator would be forced to object to all of the remaining Disputed Claims before the Objection Deadline, and to interpose further objections on alternative grounds to the Disputed Claims that are subject to pending and adjourned objections, simply to ensure no creditors receive a windfall at the expense of all holders of Allowed Claims. Alternatively, the Plan Administrator could forego filing certain meritorious objections, which would substantially prejudice all holders of Allowed Claims.

19. In short, good and sufficient cause exists to grant the requested extension

² Holders of Disputed Claims may review the claims register at www.lehman-docket.com to see the amount recorded for particular Disputed Claims.

of the Objection Deadline to March 6, 2017.

Notice

20. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. The Plan Administrator, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases (ECF No. 9635), has served notice of this Motion on (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) all parties who have requested notice in these chapter 11 cases; and (vi) all holders of Disputed Claims.

Conclusion

21. For the reasons set forth herein, the Motion should be granted. The proposed extension of the Objection Deadline (i) is reasonable and fair, (ii) does not modify the substantive rights of any of the holders of Disputed Claims, and (iii) promotes consensual resolution of Disputed Claims at reduced costs to the Debtors as well as the holders of all claims.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: May 22, 2015
New York, New York

/s/ Garrett A. Fail

Garrett A. Fail

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	08-13555 (SCC)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----	X	

**ORDER EXTENDING THE PERIOD TO FILE
OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUESTS TO ESTIMATE CLAIMS**

Upon the motion, dated May 22, 2015 (the “Motion”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), pursuant to sections 105(a) and 1142(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 9006(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, to extend the Objection Deadline, as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the Amended Standing Order of Reference M-431, dated January 31, 2012 (Preska, C.J.); and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures [ECF No. 9635] to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) all parties who have requested notice in these chapter 11 cases; and (vi) all holders of Disputed

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

Claims, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and a hearing having been held to consider the relief requested in the Motion; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the Motion is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objection Deadline imposed by section 9.1 of the Plan is hereby extended for a period of eighteen (18) months to March 6, 2017, without prejudice to the ability of the Plan Administrator to request further extensions; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.

Dated: _____, 2015
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE